

Member Decision Record

Wiember Decision Record		
Decision Title		
ASHBY ROAD, COALVILLE HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT WORKS		
Decision Details		
The Portfolio Holder for Planning and Infrastructure approved the recommendations set out in the report following consultation with the Strategic Director/Head of Planning & Infrastructure:		
A) SUPPORT THE HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT WORKS SHOWN ON DRAWING NO. 0041.000.H1.1.3 REVISION B; AND		
B) CONFIRM THE COUNCILS AGREEMENT FOR LEICESTERSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL TO CONTINUE TO DELIVER THE SCHEME.		
The report considered the previous Cabinet decision in June 2017 to support the principle of the Ashby Road highway improvement scheme. The report set out the results of the public consultation (Paragraph 2) undertaken by Leicestershire County Council (attached at appendix 2) where 77% of respondents agreed that Ashby Road requires improvements to the street scene and 68% agreed with proposed package of works shown on Drawing No 0041.000.H1.1.3 Revision B. Given the response to the public consultation generally positive the decision to support made		
Reason for Decision		
Cabinet member approval is needed to confirm the Council's support for the highway improvement works shown on the Ashby Road, Coalville Public Consultation Drawing No.0041.000.H1.1.3 Revision B to be delivered by Leicestershire County Council		
Source of Delegation		
At a meeting with the Chief Executive on Thursday 19 March 2020, the Leader of the Council made		
a decision to postpone scheduled committee meetings and he delegated his executive decision		
powers to his Cabinet members.		
A record of the decision by the Leader can be found <u>here.</u>		
Type of Decision		
X Key Decision Non-Key Decision		
List of Background Papers		
The Cabinet decision on 13 June 2017 was formed on the report Building Confidence in Coalville – Proposals and Funding which can be found <u>here.</u>		
The report, Ashby Road, Coalville Highway Improvement Works informed this decision on 31 March 2020 and can be found here .		
What alternative options were considered when making the decision?		
Not to support the decision.		

The report referred to the decision previously taken by Cabinet to support and referred to the public consultation undertaken by Leicestershire County Council.

The alternative option was discounted for the reasons set out in the report and the positive consultation response outlined above.	
What conflicts of interest were declared by any executive members consulted which relates to	
the decision?	
None declared.	
Decision Made By	
•	Councillor Robert Ashman – Deputy Leader of the Council and Portfolio
	Holder for Planning and Infrastructure
	Thomas for Franking and initiastrations
	Signature
	Signature
Officer consulted	
prior to making the	James Arnold – Strategic Director of Place
decision	
	Signature
Date Decision Made	31 March 2020
Date Decision	02 April 2020
Published	
	1